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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Following his 1 February seizure of power in Naypyitaw, Senior General Min Aung Hlaing formed an 11-member junta called the State Administration Council (SAC).

- Eight of the original members of the junta were military officers and the remaining three civilians. While six more civilians were later added to its membership, the line-up of military men on the SAC remains unchanged.

- Six of the eight military members of the SAC held the Tatmadaw’s top posts at the time of the coup, while the remaining two were appointed secretaries to the junta.

- Though biographical data on those officers are in many cases difficult to secure, preliminary analysis of their educational backgrounds, career trajectories, demographic characteristics, economic roles and interests, and prior involvement in Myanmar’s peace process is crucial to any effort to understand the country’s new military regime.

- The purpose of this article and of two forthcoming articles, on the civilian members of the SAC and on the SAC-appointed cabinet, is to stimulate further, more comprehensive, efforts to understand that regime — a necessary complement to the intense focus among analysts on the resistance to the 1 February coup in Myanmar.
INTRODUCTION

On 1 February 2021, hours before the newly elected Union Assembly was to convene, Myanmar’s military seized power. Its forces detained President Win Myint, State Counsellor Aung San Suu Kyi, and senior members of the government and of the ruling National League for Democracy (NLD) party. Announcing a state of emergency, state media declared that executive, legislative, and judiciary powers were in the hands of Defence Services Commander-in-Chief Senior General Min Aung Hlaing. The formation of the State Administration Council (SAC) followed the next day. This junta, chaired by Min Aung Hlaing, became the country’s ruling body.¹

At the time of its formation, the SAC comprised 11 members — eight military officers and three civilians. Six of those officers held the top six posts in the Myanmar military’s or Tatmadaw’s hierarchy on 1 February; the remaining two were appointed to serve as the junta’s secretaries. In contrast to the precedents of the Revolutionary Council that took power in 1962 and the State Law and Order Restoration Council that took power in 1988, no officer appointed to the SAC at the time of its formation was serving as the commander of a Regional Military Command.

Table 1. Military Members of the SAC and their Military Posts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank on the SAC</th>
<th>Romanized Name and Military Rank</th>
<th>Name and Military Rank in the Original Burmese</th>
<th>Position on the SAC</th>
<th>Military Post on 1 February 2021</th>
<th>Current Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Senior General Min Aung Hlaing</td>
<td>ဗိုလ်ချားပ်မိုင်းဗီးလှင်</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>Commander-in-Chief of the Defence Services</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Vice Senior General Soe Win</td>
<td>ဒိုးတယ်ဗိုလ်ချားစိုင်</td>
<td>Vice Chairman</td>
<td>Vice Commander-in-Chief of the Defence Services, Commander-in-Chief of the Army</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>General Mya Htun Oo</td>
<td>ဗိုလ်ချားမိုဒီထွန်းဉီး</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Joint Chief of Staff of the Army, Navy, and Air Force</td>
<td>Minister for Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Admiral Tin Aung San</td>
<td>ဗိုလ်ချားတင်းအာင်စန်း</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Commander-in-Chief of the Navy</td>
<td>Minister for Transport and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the six weeks following its formation, additional civilians joined the SAC; and at the time of writing, it counts 17 members. The junta thus now includes more civilians than military officers. While power on the SAC surely remains in the hands of soldiers, its composition represents a further divergence from the precedent of the State Law and Order Restoration Council (SLORC)/State Peace and Development Council (SPDC) junta, which ruled the country from 1988 to 2011 and was comprised exclusively of Tatmadaw officers during the entirety of its existence.  

Soon after the formation of the SAC, Tin Aung San and Mya Htun Oo left their military posts to join the cabinet appointed by the junta. While remaining members of the SAC, these men now serve as Minister for Transport and Communications and as Minister for Defence, respectively. Vice Admiral Moe Aung has replaced Tin Aung San as Commander-in-Chief of the Navy. The latter officer in fact reached retirement age in 2020; Min Aung Hlaing authorized a one-year extension of his military service. Mya Htun Oo, an officer whose career trajectory resembles that of Min Aung Hlaing himself, had before his transfer to the cabinet appeared to trail only Soe Win in his chances of becoming the next Commander-in-Chief. His replacement as Joint Chief of Staff is Lieutenant General Maung Maung Aye.

This article lays a foundation for understanding Myanmar’s new military regime through a preliminary survey of the personal and educational backgrounds, career trajectories, demographic characteristics, business interests and affiliations, and record of involvement in the country’s peace process of the military members of the SAC. It takes no position on whether this regime will last one year, two years or even longer. It is, however, grounded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>General Maung Maung Kyaw</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Commander-in-Chief of the Air Force</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Lieutenant General Moe Myint Htun</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Chief of Staff of the Army, Chief of Bureau of Special Operations – 2</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Lieutenant General Aung Lin Dwe</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>Judge Advocate General</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Lieutenant General Ye Win Oo</td>
<td>Joint Secretary</td>
<td>Chief of Military Security Affairs</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the six weeks following its formation, additional civilians joined the SAC; and at the time of writing, it counts 17 members. The junta thus now includes more civilians than military officers. While power on the SAC surely remains in the hands of soldiers, its composition represents a further divergence from the precedent of the State Law and Order Restoration Council (SLORC)/State Peace and Development Council (SPDC) junta, which ruled the country from 1988 to 2011 and was comprised exclusively of Tatmadaw officers during the entirety of its existence.²

Soon after the formation of the SAC, Tin Aung San and Mya Htun Oo left their military posts to join the cabinet appointed by the junta.³ While remaining members of the SAC, these men now serve as Minister for Transport and Communications and as Minister for Defence, respectively. Vice Admiral Moe Aung has replaced Tin Aung San as Commander-in-Chief of the Navy.⁴ The latter officer in fact reached retirement age in 2020; Min Aung Hlaing authorized a one-year extension of his military service.⁵ Mya Htun Oo, an officer whose career trajectory resembles that of Min Aung Hlaing himself, had before his transfer to the cabinet appeared to trail only Soe Win in his chances of becoming the next Commander-in-Chief.⁶ His replacement as Joint Chief of Staff is Lieutenant General Maung Maung Aye.⁷

This article lays a foundation for understanding Myanmar’s new military regime through a preliminary survey of the personal and educational backgrounds, career trajectories, demographic characteristics, business interests and affiliations, and record of involvement in the country’s peace process of the military members of the SAC. It takes no position on whether this regime will last one year, two years or even longer.⁸ It is, however, grounded
in a belief that understanding as thoroughly as possible both the composition of the regime and the shared experiences of its leading members is crucial to thinking about its likely internal dynamics. Notwithstanding the difficulty of access to reliable information, this article seeks to present base-line data on the military members of the SAC and to offer tentative analysis of those data.9

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUNDS

Graduates of the Defence Services Academy (DSA) — the Tatmadaw’s elite institution for the formation of officers10 — dominate the junta. (See Table 2.) Seven of its eight military members are DSA graduates.11 Only Lieutenant General Ye Win Oo, Joint Secretary of the SAC, is an Officer Training School (OTS) graduate — a member of the seventy-seventh intake of that school.12

Table 2. Educational Backgrounds of Military Members of the SAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Military Educational Background</th>
<th>Intake Number</th>
<th>Year of Graduation/Passing Out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior General Min Aung Hlaing</td>
<td>Defence Services Academy</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice Senior General Soe Win</td>
<td>Defence Services Academy</td>
<td>2214</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Mya Htun Oo</td>
<td>Defence Services Academy</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admiral Tin Aung San</td>
<td>Defence Services Academy</td>
<td>2316</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Maung Maung Kyaw</td>
<td>Defence Services Academy</td>
<td>2617</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Moe Myint Htun</td>
<td>Defence Services Academy</td>
<td>3018</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Aung Lin Dwe</td>
<td>Defence Services Academy</td>
<td>2519</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Ye Win Oo</td>
<td>Officers Training School</td>
<td>7720</td>
<td>198921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The senior members of the Tatmadaw hierarchy who now comprise the military members of the SAC come from a range of DSA cohorts;22 Min Aung Hlaing is a member of DSA intake number 19, while Lieutenant General Moe Myint Htun is a member of intake number 30. Only General Mya Htun Oo and Lieutenant General Aung Lin Dwe are both members of the same DSA intake, the academy’s twenty-fifth. Following formation of the junta, the former officer was, as noted, transferred from the military to the cabinet to serve as Minister.
for Defence, replaced in the post of Joint Chief of Staff by another member of the same DSA intake.23

CAREER TRAJECTORIES

The majority of the military members of the SAC are army officers. As that force is the largest and the most important of the Tatmadaw’s three branches, its officers traditionally hold the top positions in the armed forces. In fact, the Tatmadaw is in large part an army; Myanmar’s navy and air force play small roles in its activities.24 That each of the officers on the SAC with the exceptions of Tin Aung San from the Navy and Maung Maung Kyaw from the Air Force have army backgrounds is thus unsurprising.25

The career trajectories of the officers on the SAC demonstrate a discernible pattern. After graduating from the Defense Services Academy, these officers typically served in light infantry divisions26 or battalions, rising through the ranks to posts as commanders of Regional Military Commands (RMCs).27 (See Table 3.) Difficulties in securing data make tracing the early careers of individual officers impossible here. But the take-off point into senior Tatmadaw leadership roles for the military members of the SAC appears to have been their promotion to the post of regional commander. They were then assigned back and forth every year or two among RMCs, the general staff office or military schools. Promotion to lead Bureaus of Special Operations (BSOs) followed.28 After completing their assignments as regional commanders and BSO chiefs, the officers rose to the top posts in the Tatmadaw.

Table 3. Regional Military Commands (RMCs) Headed by Military Members of the SAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Regional Military Command (RMC)</th>
<th>Years of Service</th>
<th>Areas under the Command</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior General Min Aung Hlaing</td>
<td>Triangle Region Command²⁹ 2002-2003</td>
<td>Eastern Shan State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Command³⁰ 2004-2005</td>
<td>Rakhine State and Chin State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice Senior General Soe Win</td>
<td>Northern Command³¹ 2008-2010</td>
<td>Kachin State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Mya Htun Oo</td>
<td>Eastern Central Command³² 2011-2012</td>
<td>Central Shan State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Moe Myint Htun</td>
<td>Naypyitaw Command³³ 2015-2017³⁴</td>
<td>Naypyitaw Territory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Aung Lin Dwe</td>
<td>Western Command³⁵ 2015-2016</td>
<td>Rakhine State and Chin State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Ye Win Oo</td>
<td>South Western Command³⁶ 2018-2020³⁷</td>
<td>Ayeyarwady Region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As regional commanders, most officers who later rose to those top military posts served in ethnic frontier areas where insurgent groups are typically active. Counter-insurgent operations have been the principal activity of the Tatmadaw in the areas of responsibility of the RMCs in ethnic states. As performance in counter-insurgency typically serves as the basis for promotion in Myanmar’s armed forces, officers who have proven their abilities in overseeing such operations appear more likely to rise to top positions. There are, however, exceptions. Lieutenant General Moe Myint Htun’s service as regional commander was at the Naypyitaw Command, for example, and Lieutenant General Ye Win Oo headed the South Western Command, overseeing the Ayeyarwady Region.

Table 4. Bureaus of Special Operations (BSOs) Headed by Military Members of the SAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Bureau of Special Operations (BSO)</th>
<th>Years of Service</th>
<th>Regional Commands under the Bureau</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vice Senior General Soe Win</td>
<td>Bureau of Special Operations - 6</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>Naypyitaw Command, Western Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Mya Htun Oo</td>
<td>Bureau of Special Operations - 6</td>
<td>2015-2017</td>
<td>Naypyitaw Command, Western Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Moe Myint Htun</td>
<td>Bureau of Special Operations - 5</td>
<td>2015-2017</td>
<td>Yangon Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau of Special Operations - 6</td>
<td>2019 (for two months)</td>
<td>Naypyitaw Command, Western Command</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After serving in one or two RMCs as commanders, these officers were typically promoted to posts as chiefs of BSOs. (See Table 4.) Three leading military members of the SAC — Soe Win, Mya Htun Oo and Moe Myint Htun — previously helmed BSO-6. The location
of the bureau in Naypyitaw and its operational importance suggest that officers appointed to head BSO-6 had not only demonstrated to the Tatmadaw’s leadership their potential for promotion to even more senior posts but had also earned the trust of that leadership.51

Between assignments at one RMC or another or before promotion to head BSOs, officers now serving on the SAC assumed positions in the general staff office or at military schools — military intelligence chief, chief of staff of the army, or DSA principal. (See Table 5.) The range of positions that they held gave them staff, command, and instructional experience.

Table 5. Posts in the General Staff Office and Military Schools Held by Military Members of the SAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Post in General Staff Office or Military Schools</th>
<th>Previous Post</th>
<th>Following Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior General Min Aung Hlaing</td>
<td>Principal of the Defense Services Academy52</td>
<td>Commander of Triangle Region Command</td>
<td>Commander of Western Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice Senior General Soe Win</td>
<td>Commandant of Officers Training School53</td>
<td>Operational Commander at 10th Military Operation Command</td>
<td>Commander of Northern Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Mya Htun Oo</td>
<td>Principal of the Defense Services Academy54</td>
<td>(data not available to authors)</td>
<td>Commander of Eastern Central Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief of Staff of the Army</td>
<td>Commander of Eastern Central Command</td>
<td>Chief of Military Security Affairs and concurrently Chief of Bureau of Special Operations - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Moe Myint Htun</td>
<td>Chief of Staff of the Army55</td>
<td>Chief of Bureau of Special Operations - 6,56 concurrently Chief of Staff of the Army</td>
<td>Chief of Bureau of Special Operations - 2,57 concurrently Chief of Staff of the Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant General Aung Lin Dwe</td>
<td>Principal of the Defence Services Academy58</td>
<td>(data not available to authors)</td>
<td>Commander of Western Command</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Trajectories through these assignments and positions of responsibility led the military members of the SAC to the top military posts that they held at the time of the 1 February coup. For instance, after heading BSO-2, Min Aung Hlaing became Joint Chief of Staff of the Army, Navy, and Air Force, the third highest position in the military hierarchy, in 2010.\(^59\) He replaced Senior General Than Shwe as Tatmadaw Commander-in-Chief in 2011.\(^60\) In the same year, Soe Win was promoted to Vice Commander-in-Chief from Chief of BSO-6.\(^61\) Following the same trajectory, Mya Htun Oo became Joint Chief of Staff in 2016 after his own stint leading BSO-6.\(^62\) Moe Myint Htun became the chief of BSO-6 and then of BSO-2 in 2019 while still serving as Chief of Staff of the Army.\(^63\)

Mya Htun Oo is also a former chief of Military Security Affairs. Ye Win Oo holds that post now. While Myanmar’s intelligence apparatus may have diminished in political influence since the downfall of General Khin Nyunt in 2004, officers with strong ties to that apparatus do have a clear and obvious presence among the military members of the SAC.\(^64\)

Myanmar Navy and Air Force personnel seem to have relatively straightforward career trajectories, perhaps because of their forces’ small scale and simple command structures. Data indicate that Tin Aung San and Maung Maung Kyaw commanded different naval headquarters and airbases before becoming Chief of Staff of the Navy\(^65\) and the Air Force,\(^66\) respectively, and then the Commanders-in-Chief of their services.

**DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**

Locating information on the birthplaces of the SAC’s military members is difficult. An exception relates to Min Aung Hlaing, born in Dawei in the Tanintharyi Region\(^67\) — the southernmost part of the country. The military members of the SAC members are Buddhists, and all are apparently Bamar.\(^68\) In a country in which more than 70 per cent of the population are Bamar Buddhists, the Tatmadaw is highly Burmanized. Further, the SLORC/SPDC years saw the entrenchment of a practice whereby non-Bamar officers rarely, if ever, assumed top military positions.

The Tatmadaw and its leadership portray themselves as defenders and patrons of the country’s Buddhist establishment. This attitude has its roots in ethno-nationalism centred on Bamar Buddhist identity, which has been deeply rooted in the country’s politics since the colonial era. It is likely that the current military leadership shares this ethno-nationalist outlook, while at the same time using Bamar Buddhist ethno-nationalism as a tool to advance its political interests and to rally support from the populace.\(^69\)

**ECONOMIC ROLES AND INTERESTS**

The Tatmadaw wields economic influence through two military-owned conglomerates — Myanmar Economic Holdings Limited (MEHL) and the Myanmar Economic Corporation (MEC).\(^70\) While the organization and revenues of these conglomerates remain opaque and removed from civilian oversight, understanding the role of the Tatmadaw in the country, its finances, or its efforts to provide welfare for its troops without reference to them is impossible.\(^71\) Taken together, the two conglomerates own at least 106 businesses and are closely associated with a further 27 businesses.\(^72\) They span sectors ranging from mining and other extractive industries to manufacturing, construction, tourism, banking and
insurance. MEHL is one of the largest companies in Myanmar, paying the second highest taxes of any entity in 2019.  

Any effort to figure out what the SAC—like in fact the Tatmadaw more generally—is must take into account that seven of its military members hold positions in MEHL or the MEC. Min Aung Hlaing and Soe Win serve as the Chairman and Vice Chairman of MEHL’s Patrons Group, which oversees the conglomerate’s board of directors. Mya Htun Oo, Tin Aung San, and Maung Maung Kyaw are also members of the group. SAC member Aung Lin Dwe is a MEHL director, while Moe Myint Htun is a director of both MEHL and the MEC.  

While the matter is not a focus of this article, the families of the SAC’s military members also have considerable business stakes—spanning sectors including real estate, construction, entertainment, hotels and tourism, and telecommunications.

**INVOLVEMENT IN THE PEACE PROCESS**

In his first television address to the public after the 1 February coup, Min Aung Hlaing expressed the military’s interest in bringing a durable and sustainable peace to the country and in advancing Myanmar’s peace process on the basis of the 2015 Nationwide Ceasefire Agreement (NCA) between the Thein Sein government and a number of Myanmar’s ethnic armed organizations (EAOs). He had in fact emphasized the importance of restarting talks with EAOs since November of last year, when he established the military’s permanent Peace Talks Committee a day after Myanmar’s national elections.

Among military members of the SAC, Min Aung Hlaing, Soe Win and Aung Lin Dwe have the most noteworthy records of previous involvement in the peace process. The first two officers negotiated with EAOs starting in the early 2010s, under the Thein Sein administration. Min Aung Hlaing served on the Union Peace Central Committee (UPCC), and Soe Win was a vice chair of the Union Peace Working Committee (UPWC). Later, as Commander-in-Chief and Vice Commander-in-Chief of Defence Services, the two officers were supportive of NCA. For his part, Aung Lin Dwe served on the Joint Ceasefire Monitoring Committee during the NCA process and joined the Peace Talks Committee formed last November. The SAC has abolished the NLD-led National Reconciliation and Peace Center (NRPC) and set up three committees to continue the peace process: the National Solidarity and Peace-making Central Committee (NSPCC), the National Solidarity and Peace-making Working Committee (NSPWC), and the National Unity and Peace Restoration Coordination Committee (NUPRCC).

How the previous involvement of Min Aung Hlaing and several of the other military members of the SAC in Myanmar’s peace process will shape their approach to achieving the “eternal peace” that the Senior General promised last year remains unknown. Asking whether the SAC views the new committees as means of reducing domestic pressure in the face of nation-wide resistance to its coup or whether it is determined to prove that it can accomplish what a half-decade of NLD efforts could not is, nevertheless, a fair question.
CONCLUSION

The data on the military members of Myanmar’s ruling State Administration Council make possible several tentative and preliminary observations.

Unsurprisingly, the roster of officers on the SAC reflects the character of the Tatmadaw. In appointing those officers to the junta, Senior General Min Aung Hlaing adhered strictly to the military hierarchy that obtained at the time of his coup. The first six members of the SAC held the top six posts in the armed forces on 1 February, now ranked on the junta in the order of their positions in the military leadership. This pattern of appointments may reflect not only Min Aung Hlaing’s adherence to institutional norms but also his pragmatism or even caution. In the uncertain atmosphere immediately following the coup, securing and retaining the support of fellow senior officers by offering them seats on the new junta according with their standing in the armed forces removed a potential source of distraction and even risk. By the time of the coup, too, Min Aung Hlaing had served as Commander-in-Chief of Defence Services for a decade. The roster of senior officers in the Tatmadaw was, not least, a product of his preferences and decisions — reflective perhaps of patterns of loyalty about which the data on which this article draws make it impossible to say as much as one would like.

Min Aung Hlaing was due to retire from his position as Commander-in-Chief and from active military service on turning 65 in July 2021. While after seizing power he quickly moved to postpone his retirement indefinitely, there is nevertheless reason to believe that he sought at the time of the SAC’s formation in February to send reassuring signals to members of the next generation of the Tatmadaw’s leadership. Reshuffles in recent years had seen the rise of officers such as Moe Myint Htun and Maung Maung Kyaw into the military’s higher echelons, in an apparent indication both of the senior general’s intentions to oversee generational transition in the Tatmadaw and of his personal ties to these officers. The noteworthy transfer of Mya Htun Oo from his senior military post to the cabinet may also reveal much about SAC and Tatmadaw dynamics, and about the regime’s future. These important matters merit closer scrutiny than the present article is able to offer.

The military membership of the SAC reflects characteristics of the Tatmadaw in other ways. All of the officers on the junta are Buddhists and apparently Bamars. The majority of them have served in the army, the dominant military branch. Their career trajectories typify those of senior Tatmadaw officers, especially in that they have in most cases included important counter-insurgency roles in ethnic states. All but one of the officers on the SAC have records of close involvement with the military’s two sprawling conglomerates; their ties to Myanmar Economic Holdings Limited are particularly noteworthy.

These multi-stranded, shared ties lead to two observations. One concerns the need to view common experiences not only of ethnicity and religion, professional formation, counter-insurgency and political outlook but also of oversight of business interests as possible sources of SAC cohesion. The second observation concerns the value of understanding the SAC, like the Tatmadaw more generally, as an economic actor — perhaps at least as much as a military or political actor.
Five months after the 1 February coup, Min Aung Hlaing’s SAC regime may still be in its early days, seeking to assert and consolidate a credible level of control of the country that it may never achieve. The military officers who dominate the junta remain the same people who led the Tatmadaw before the coup. However, in the months ahead and perhaps beyond, and as already exemplified by the transfer of two officers on the SAC from senior military posts, dynamics between Min Aung Hlaing and his generals will play no small part in determining Myanmar’s future. Time will tell whether the unity and cohesion of the military members of the SAC, their fear of the consequences of failure, and their willingness to play continuing roles in their Commander-in-Chief’s project will hold up when confronted both by internal tensions and stresses among members of the junta and by pressures from within and beyond Myanmar’s borders.


2 The former junta changed its name from State Law and Order Restoration Council to State Peace and Development Council in 1997. The efforts of the SAC’s leadership to assert the new junta’s control and legitimacy bear strong similarity to the approach of the SLORC and SPDC. Further, the SLORC offered a rationale to justify its 1988 seizure of power similar to that offered at the time of Ne Win’s 1962 coup. While the stated objective of Ne Win’s Revolutionary Council was to prevent disintegration of the country, the SLORC claimed to intervene to re-establish “law and order” in the wake of a nation-wide democracy uprising that had halted the functioning of the state. In contrast to the SAC, however, the 17 founding members of the Revolutionary Council in 1962 were all military officers, though they included two non-Bamar soldiers: Brigadier-General Thomas Cliff and Colonel Tan Yu Sai. Three civilians, including one non-Bamar, would join the junta nine years later. The durability of Myanmar’s earlier junta certainly helps account for popular skepticism about the SAC’s promise to hold elections — whether in one year or in two years; see Allegra Mendelson, “Myanmar’s military may extend emergency rule by up to two years”, *Al Jazeera*, 7 April 2021 ([https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/4/7/myanmar-military-may-extend-emergency-rule-by-up-to-two-years](https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/4/7/myanmar-military-may-extend-emergency-rule-by-up-to-two-years), downloaded 24 June 2021).

3 That cabinet is the subject of a further *ISEAS Perspective* piece, now in preparation.


5 Personal communication, former Tatmadaw officer and DSA graduate, 29 June 2021.

Htet Naing Zaw, “ဒိယ်မျပ်-ကီး 6ကည်း”。Maung Maung Aye became the commander of the Naypyitaw Command in 2010 and Chief of Armed Forces Training in 2015. He also previously headed Bureau of Special Operations-6, located in Naypyitaw.

At the same time, implicit in the article’s approach is a belief in the heuristic value of taking seriously the SAC as a regime. While few observers of the Indonesian scene in the late 1960s could, for example, have predicted that General Soeharto’s nascent New Order regime would last a further three decades, attention to the formative period of that regime certainly paid rich dividends to Indonesia-watchers over the long term.
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During the SPDC era, Khin Nyunt’s access to information and the political ambitions that he demonstrated while serving as the Tadmadaw’s intelligence chief led to tensions within the military’s leadership. Khin Nyunt had assumed control of the intelligence apparatus in 1983, when it was known as the Directorate of Defence Services Intelligence (DDSI). In 2001, it became the Office of the Chief of Military Intelligence (OCMI) and remained under Khin Nyunt’s leadership until the time of his fall in 2004. He had been a founding member of the SLORC as its Secretary-1, relinquishing that post to become prime minister in 2003. Following Khin Nyunt’s downfall, the OCMI was restructured as the Office of the Chief of Military Security Affairs (OCMSA), with greater oversight from military leaders. Further, regional commanders took control of military intelligence battalions in their areas of responsibility; see Andrew Selth, *Secrets and Power in Myanmar: Intelligence and the Fall of General Khin Nyunt* (Singapore: ISEAS Publishing, 2019), p. 91. In a further indication of the place of figures with military intelligence backgrounds in the SAC regime, Lieutenant General (ret.) Myint Swe — who now serves as the regime’s acting president and was vice president under the toppled NLD government — shares with Mya Htun Oo and Ye Win Oo a history of helming the OCMSA.


68 Confirmation awaits further research on such aspects of the biographies of SAC members as their family backgrounds, their pre-DSA educations, the early stages of their military careers and their webs of connections within the armed forces.


70 MEHL was the first private company established in Myanmar after the 1988 military coup that toppled the country’s socialist regime. Its official aim was to ensure the welfare of military personnel, their dependents, and war veterans. A third of MEHL’s share are owned by military units — including regional commands, light infantry divisions, battalions and also war veterans associations. Another two thirds are owned by individual serving or retired military personnel. The MEC was established in 1997. These two conglomerates generate significant revenue not only for individual soldiers but also for the military itself; see United Nations Human Rights Council, “The Economic Interests of the Myanmar Military (16 September 2019)”, p. 19, and Maung Aung Myoe, “The Defence Expenditures and Commercial Interests of the Tatmadaw”, pp. 97-131 in Montesano, Chong and Prajak, *Practitioners, Profiteers or Professionals?*

71 See Maung Aung Myoe, ibid.

72 Ibid., p. 18.


74 In Myanmar, အိမ်ဖက်သောက်.
76 Ibid. Even though the precise functions and purposes of the Patrons Group are unclear, observers believe that the senior military leaders among its members have considerable influence and control over MEHL; ibid., p. 19.
77 Ibid., p. 70. All of these officers are believed to have derived significant income from shareholdings in the Tatmadaw conglomerates. In 2010-2011, the year that he became Commander-in-Chief, Min Aung Hlaing owned 5,000 shares in MEHL and received an annual dividend of 1.5 million kyats (US$250,000). Likewise, Soe Win owned 10,000 shares in MEHL and received dividends of 500,000 kyats (US$83,333) in the same year, 2010-2011; see “Myanmar: Military Ltd: The Company Financing Human Rights Abuses in Myanmar”, p. 44.
78 The following table offers what is likely to be only a partial list of the most apparent such stakes.

Family Business Ties of the Military Members of the SAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC Member</th>
<th>Name of Family Member</th>
<th>Relationship to SAC Member</th>
<th>Name of Firm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior General Min Aung Hlaing</td>
<td>Aung Pyae Sone</td>
<td>Son</td>
<td>A &amp; M Mahar Company Limited (pharmaceuticals)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bhone Myat Pyae Sone Trading Company Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nyein Chan Pyae Sone Manufacturing &amp; Trading Company Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sky One Construction Company Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yangon Restaurant and Yangon Gallery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myo Yadanar Htike</td>
<td>daughter-in-law</td>
<td>Stellar Seven Company Limited (entertainment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khin Thiri Thet Mon</td>
<td>Daughter</td>
<td>Everfit Company Limited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seventh Sense Company Limited (entertainment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admiral Tin Aung San</td>
<td>Yin Min Thu</td>
<td>Daughter</td>
<td>Global Icon General Trading Company Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Maung Maung Kyaw</td>
<td>Hein Htet</td>
<td>Son</td>
<td>Paramount Events Myanmar Company Limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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While recent months have seen intense combat between Tatmadaw forces and EAOs in many parts of the country, peace committees formed by the military have nonetheless been in talks with several ethnic organizations and armed groups, such as the Democratic Karen Buddhist Army (DKBA), the Karen National Union/Karen National Liberation Army (Peace Council) - KNU/KNLA (PC), and the Arakan Liberation Party (ALP); see “National Unity and Peace

87 For some parallels in Soeharto’s approach in the early years of the long-lasting New Order regime in Indonesia, see Jenkins, Suharto and His Generals, pp. 41-42. Jenkins’s ongoing work on Indonesia’s durable dictator has most recently resulted in David Jenkins, Young Soeharto: The Making of a Soldier, 1921-1945 (Singapore: ISEAS Publishing, 2021) — the first volume in a planned trilogy on Soeharto’s life up to 1966.

88 On 4 February, just three days after the coup, Min Aung Hlaing extended his term as Commander-in-Chief of the Defence Services indefinitely; see “Min Aung Hlaing Makes Himself Military Supremo for Life”.


90 On Maung Maung Kyaw, see note 25 above. As for the relatively young and junior Moe Myint Htun, the belief in well-informed circles in Yangon is that his prior service under Min Aung Hlaing has created a strong bond of loyalty between the two officers; personal communication, former Myanmar civil servant and long-time Tatmadaw observer, 1 July 2021; also see note 63 above.
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