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The economic, political, strategic and cultural dynamism in Southeast Asia has gained added relevance in recent years with the spectacular rise of giant economies in East and South Asia. This has drawn greater attention to the region and to the enhanced role it now plays in international relations and global economics.
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The Growing Salience of Online Vietnamese Nationalism

By Dien Nguyen An Luong

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

• Vietnamese nationalism has a strong undercurrent of anti-China sentiments, and Vietnam’s leaders have regularly tapped into such sentiments to shore up their legitimacy and boost Vietnamese nationalism.

• Over the last decade, the helter-skelter growth of social media has bred new popular actors in Vietnamese cybersphere, who are deeply nationalistic but who pursue entirely different political and social agendas. In sum, they give rise to a new nationalistic narrative, one that paints the Vietnam Communist Party as being often too meek and subservient to China, and calls for drastic reforms to the political system—regime change not excluded—to deal with Chinese threats.

• An examination of prominent cases of online Vietnamese nationalism shows that anti-China sentiments have been a recurrent theme and a consistent trigger. The online nationalistic movements have been mostly instigated by popular figures, with state actors playing a facilitating role in stoking and harnessing them for their own ends.

• Manifestations of online nationalism, especially those centred on anti-China and sovereignty issues, may hold serious consequences, including violence and deadly riots. In some instances, online nationalistic campaigns both galvanize and dissipate relatively quickly once state and popular actors have somehow managed to achieve their aims.

• The growing salience of online Vietnamese nationalism has posed serious challenges and dilemmas for the regime. The authorities have had to encourage nationalistic patriotism without letting Sinophobia spiral out of control or turn against the regime.
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INTRODUCTION

A multinational fashion retailer. The prime minister of Singapore. A COVID-19 patient who is the daughter of an ultra-wealthy Vietnamese family. They have all been the targets of online Vietnamese nationalists under different circumstances.

Indeed, recent manifestations of potent online nationalism in Vietnamese cybersphere have forced the authorities to become acutely wary of, sensitive to and even accommodating of it. This marks a significant development in the social media landscape: At the very outset, Vietnam’s Internet users turned to social media to mostly challenge the official narrative of the mainstream media and to offer a counter-narrative to it. But social media users have also become increasingly vocal and frequent in defending Vietnam’s image abroad by flagging content they deem controversial and inappropriate. This dynamic has created complex challenges and dilemmas for the authorities who have at times found it useful to leverage nationalistic sentiments to advance its foreign policy positions and yet have reiterated that the task...
of cracking down on anti-state content in cyberspace has never been more crucial.²

This paper seeks to answer these questions: What triggers an online nationalist backlash in Vietnam? Who are the actors behind such campaigns? What are the tactics and strategies used and what are their end goals? Has nationalist online expression been able to shape Vietnam’s foreign and domestic policymaking or has it been shaped by them? Has nationalist online expression influenced discourse in the mainstream media?

The paper lays out key characteristics of Vietnamese nationalism and examines how it has evolved. It then takes a closer look at the emergence of online nationalism and high-profile case studies in an effort to sketch out common themes of online nationalistic narratives. The paper also explores what role the Vietnamese state plays in shaping these narratives and how much influence it wields in controlling and managing online nationalism. It concludes by outlining some major dilemmas facing the authorities if they continue seeking to play the online nationalism card in the future.

**HOW VIETNAMESE NATIONALISM EVOLVES AND TAKES OFF ONLINE**

To examine expressions of nationalism in Vietnam, it is useful to look at how such sentiments have panned out in China and put them in a comparative context. The reasons are not hard to fathom: Vietnam is believed to have selectively followed the Chinese model, given how ideologically, politically and economically similar Vietnam’s system is to China’s. This section draws mainly on past research on Vietnamese nationalism, anti-China sentiments in contemporary Vietnam, and territorial disputes and nationalism by these scholars: Tuong Vu, Nhi

---

Hoang Thuc Nguyen, Hannah Cotillon and Frances Yaping Wang and Brantly Womack.

As Cotillon points out, given structural similarities between China and Vietnam, societal institutions, regime type and historical consciousness are “central structural characteristics” that have chiefly shaped expressions of nationalism in both countries.\(^3\) In contemporary China and Vietnam, however, a growing body of evidence also shows how nationalism has merged with geopolitical thinking, and how territorial disputes have been a trigger for such expressions of nationalism.\(^4\) According to Cotillon, territorial disputes have become a litmus test of national pride for both Chinese and Vietnamese leaders. In other words, people expect their governments to act tough in such disputes; failure to do so could be politically damaging. The nature of Chinese and Vietnamese societal institutions and regime type, as Cotillon sums it up, “has meant that expressions of nationalism, even as far as public protests, are permitted at popular levels and are maintained at governmental levels”.

In China and Vietnam—be it at government or popular levels—a nationalist discourse has been taking place with a strongly embedded historical narrative fortified by both top-down and bottom-up construction.\(^5\) Nationalism has been at the core of the Chinese Communist Party’s claim on political power since the days of Mao Zedong’s leadership.\(^6\) Nowhere was this more manifest than in 1991, when China launched the “Patriotic Education Campaign” in the wake of the student-led Tiananmen protests two years earlier.\(^7\) The key tenet of the campaign

---


\(^4\) Ibid., p. 52.

\(^5\) Ibid., p. 54.


was to inoculate Chinese youth against a perceived Western ideological “peaceful evolution” strategy as well as to remind them of their country’s “century of humiliation” and the Communist Party’s role in crushing foreign powers and restoring national sovereignty. The World Wide Web did not become a vehicle for nationalism in China until the 1990s, when its Internet users started to bristle at and push back against what they perceived as an anti-China narrative perpetuated in the West. Since then, its “national Internet” has emboldened China to craft increasingly sophisticated state-sponsored campaigns aimed at boosting youth nationalism.

But in Vietnam, a distinct feature of its nationalism is the strong undercurrent of anti-China sentiments. It is so deeply embedded in the Vietnamese psyche that it was even marshalled in nationalistic movements against colonialism and other foreign invaders. As Vu points out: Since the latter part of the nineteenth century to the end of the Vietnam War in 1975, Vietnamese nationalism had been conceptualized simply as anti-colonialism. During the struggles against the French and Americans in the 1950s and 1960s, Vietnam spearheaded a state-sanctioned attempt designed to “desinicize the [Vietnamese] past”, featuring the country as a well-established, independent and unique civilization. The then Democratic Republic of Vietnam government sought to exhaust the pretext of a-thousand-year conflict with China.

---


to shape a historical narrative of Vietnam as a people with unwavering resistance against foreign invaders. This multipronged campaign served various purposes: (i) to gain legitimacy for the Communist leadership; (ii) to enlist grassroots support against the French and Americans; and (iii) to boost nationalism.\textsuperscript{12}

Intriguingly, according to Ungar and Pelley, the “ancient Chinese invasion” theme was also employed in anti-America propaganda campaigns in South Vietnam.\textsuperscript{13} Cases in point: Nayan Chanda, a veteran journalist who is the author of the acclaimed postwar history \textit{Brother Enemy}, recalled that at a time when American warplanes were bombing Hanoi in the 1970s, foreign visitors to Vietnam’s national museum were briefed on historical accounts of Chinese occupations.\textsuperscript{14} Noam Chomsky, the leading American political activist and one of the most vociferous critic of America’s foreign policies, told me in an email interview years ago that in 1970, during a short hiatus in the US bombing of North Vietnam, he was invited to visit the capital Hanoi and lecture at the Polytechnique University there. Chomsky recalled that the first morning he arrived, he was taken to the war museum to listen to long lectures with dioramas about Vietnamese wars with China many centuries ago. To Chomsky, the message Vietnam looked to ram home could not be clearer: “You [the U.S.] happen to be destroying us now, but you’ll leave. China will always be here.”\textsuperscript{15}

\textsuperscript{12} Nhi Hoang Thuc Nguyen, “Anti-Chinese Sentiment in Contemporary Vietnam: Constructing Nationalism, New Democracy, and the Use of ‘the Other’”, \textit{Undergraduate Student Research Awards} 40 (Fall 2017): 4, https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1040&context=infolit_usra


But still, according to Wang and Womack, Vietnamese authorities have always understood too well that anti-China sentiments are a double-edged sword, for two major reasons. First, Vietnam “could not afford to adopt officially an anti-China stance,”\(^\text{16}\) given how important such asymmetric bilateral ties were. The Chinese clout has weighed on various fronts, ranging from Beijing’s support in the form of money, weapons and advisors for Hanoi’s resistance against French and American invasions during the 1950–70 period to China’s economic largesse in contemporary Vietnam.\(^\text{17}\) Second, anti-China sentiments are vulnerable to be hijacked by anti-regime agendas, which clearly manifest in the era of swelling social media. Given that, the onus is on any Vietnamese government to maintain a long-term diplomatic relationship with China. But against that backdrop, as Nguyen observes: The cordial façade of Sino-Vietnamese ties had already begun to crack and crumble during the French and early US colonial era as “internationalism and communist fraternalism gave way to national interests”.\(^\text{18}\) According to Nguyen, Vietnamese leaders bristled at the “perceived paternalism of their Chinese advisors, as well as China’s growing relationship with the US”. Such rifts culminated in the decade-long Third Indochina War between China and Vietnam. It all started in 1979, when China sent an estimated force of 600,000 troops to “teach Vietnam a lesson” for ousting the genocidal Khmer Rouge

---


\(^\text{17}\) Tuong Vu, “Southeast Asia’s New Nationalism: Causes and Significance”, *Trans-Regional and -National Studies of Southeast Asia*, 1, no. 2 (2013): 259–79.

\(^\text{18}\) Nhi Hoang Thuc Nguyen, “Anti-Chinese Sentiment in Contemporary Vietnam: Constructing Nationalism, New Democracy, and the Use of ‘the Other’”, *Undergraduate Student Research Awards* 40 (Fall 2017): 14, https://digitalcommons.trinity.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1040&context=infol it_usra
regime a year earlier.\textsuperscript{19} The brief but bloody border war upended bilateral Sino-Vietnamese ties and has, along with the South China Sea dispute, ever since played a crucial role in colouring anti-China sentiments in contemporary Vietnam.

National history dictated to Vietnamese students at all levels has featured battles of historical figures who fought against periods of Chinese rule. Those lessons, in one way or another, serve “the purpose of justifying communist rule and the leading role of the [Communist Party of Vietnam]”\textsuperscript{20} The end goals of the state actors in exploiting and harnessing anti-China sentiments in contemporary Vietnam have barely changed: (i) to boost the legitimacy of the regime;\textsuperscript{21} (ii) to shore up national unity; and (iii) to stoke and maintain nationalism.\textsuperscript{22} The authorities have had to walk an increasingly blurry line between doing so and averting stoking extreme Sinophobia for fear that the latter could spiral out of control or turn against the regime. That challenge becomes all the more daunting now that the Internet has enabled anti-China sentiments to morph, evolve and manifest online. The helter-skelter growth of social media has bred a new force of popular non-official actors in the online sphere who are deeply nationalistic but who pursue entirely different political and social agendas.\textsuperscript{23} As both state and popular actors sprint to control public narrative, anti-China sentiments have percolated and been amplified in public discourse.


\textsuperscript{22} Nhi Hoang Thuc Nguyen, “Anti-Chinese Sentiment in Contemporary Vietnam”, p. 31.

\textsuperscript{23} Ibid.
EMERGENCE OF “NEW NATIONALISM” AND THE FACEBOOK FACTOR

According to Vu, since the 1980s, the term “new nationalism” has been used to describe popular or grassroots nationalist movements that challenge the legitimacy of the Communist Party and call for what is perceived to be democracy for Vietnam. Those “new nationalists” could be anyone—intellectuals, retired government officials, professors, youth and students, writers or independent activists. They took great strides in mustering the power of social media—first the blogosphere and then Facebook—to rail against government’s thinking and policy. Their end goals are not to wage a physical war or stir up tension with China, as Nguyen observes. Instead, they seek to expose inefficiency, weakness and malfeasance in Vietnam’s leadership. In a country where being cast as meek and kowtowing to Beijing can be politically damaging, those popular actors have looked to make the most of this dynamic to castigate Vietnam’s dealing with China on various fronts, using the very tactic deployed by the authorities—stoking anti-China and nationalist sentiments. Vietnam’s wooden propaganda approach and censorship have also thwarted the mainstream media’s efforts to fully explain the otherwise perplexing nature of Sino-Vietnamese ties to an already baffled public, lending credence to the narrative peddled by the “new nationalists.”

This is the context in which online Vietnamese nationalists entered the fray, coalescing themselves around a “master narrative” consisting of three key messages:

---


26 Nhi Hoang Thuc Nguyen, “Anti-Chinese Sentiment in Contemporary Vietnam”, p. 34.
• China has never abandoned its expansionist ambitions of drawing Vietnam into its orbit.
• Vietnamese leaders, who have become overly subservient to their Chinese counterparts, are shattering public trust in their ability to defend the country.
• Drastic reforms to the political system—regime change not excluded—are desperately needed to deal with Chinese threats.

The blogosphere was instrumental to the mobilization of the first anti-China protests in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City in late 2007 and early 2008 in the wake of China’s assertive behaviour in the South China Sea.27 Influential bloggers28 initiated the call to action on their platforms, detailing logistical information on when, where and how they would take place. Those blogs also articulated the key tenet of the protests—condemn China’s aggressive and expansionist activities in the South China Sea and the meek response from the Vietnamese government. The protests took place on a small scale, drawing the participation of chiefly nationalistic Vietnamese bloggers and youths.29 Those demonstrations were quickly suppressed, with the authorities arresting several high-profile bloggers for their perceived instigating roles. Even then, the fact that the blogosphere—a relatively less sophisticated social media platform—was able to mobilize protests in the face of state-sponsored censorship and crackdown was a testament to how Vietnamese nationalists had found a new venue to galvanize actions.

After Facebook rolled out its Vietnamese service in 2008, it has taken over as a platform of choice for Internet users, just as the influence of

27 Tuong Vu, “The Party v. the People”, p. 43.
the blogosphere started withering. Various statistics have confirmed that Facebook, Google’s YouTube and Zalo have been the most popular social media platforms in Vietnam.30 (Zalo is Vietnam’s premier chatting app, launched in 2012 and current boasting around 52 million users.31) Twitter usage has paled in comparison with those platforms while WhatsApp, Signal or Telegram have remained pretty much new to Vietnamese users. The first major illustration of how Facebook was instrumental to unleashing online Vietnamese nationalism materialized in a 2009 incident that revolved around the public backlash against a joint venture under which the Vietnamese government had awarded a Chinese firm the right to mine bauxite—the basic ingredient in the production of aluminium—in the Central Highlands, a strategically important and sensitive region in Vietnam. Even though the case did not lead to the government backtracking on its policy altogether, it was emblematic of how anti-China sentiments fuelled what was perceived to be one of “the most significant domestic confrontations”32 between the “new nationalists” and the powers-that-be. In fact, in 2008, a handful of Vietnamese environmentalists, scientists and activists had aired their grievances against government-approved plans to develop bauxite mining without an environmental impact study.33 But those voices were mostly drowned out until Vo Nguyen Giap, a legendary general who played an
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instrumental role in ousting French and American troops from Vietnam, stepped up to the plate a year later. In his three open letters directed at Vietnam’s leadership, Giap not only reiterated the environmental consequences the bauxite-mining projects could bring about but also raised the alarm about the risk of displacing ethnic minority populations. But above all else, Giap’s letters warned that the projects would threaten national security by allowing an influx of Chinese workers into the Central Highlands and handing the economic leverage to China. The “Chinese-threat” trope in the letters opened the floodgates for criticism to be hurled at the government’s foreign policy, thrusting the bauxite mining plans into the national spotlight and triggering heated debates at the National Assembly, the nation’s legislative body.

Giap’s letters were circulated widely on Facebook and the mainstream media, galvanizing the “new nationalists”—retired officials, intellectuals, activists, writers, or journalists—into lodging one petition after the next, calling on Vietnam’s leaders to allow for increased public scrutiny of bauxite mining projects. For instance, around 700 individuals set up a Facebook page to register their opposition. The anti-bauxite movement was expanded to the broader theme of economic overreliance on China. It even gained traction among religious leaders, overseas Vietnamese, political dissidents, or grassroots individuals. In response to Giap’s letters and growing public disenchantment, the government gave reassurance that the country would not consider exploiting the mineral at “any cost”. Although Vietnamese authorities eventually pressed ahead with the projects, they made some compromises in an apparent gesture

to soothe an increasingly anti-China nationalist audience at home. Chief among them were the modifying of plans by the government to factor in environmental concerns and impact on ethnic minorities. The legislature, ministries and local authorities would be allowed to inspect how the projects were being implemented. The government also confirmed that the Chinese firm would not have any equity in the project.

But apparently, with its instrumental role in fanning public criticism in cyberspace, Facebook was becoming a thorn in the eyes of Vietnamese authorities. A supposed draft regulation requiring Internet Service Providers to block Facebook was leaked in mid-2009. Its authenticity remained in question, but access to Facebook, which boasted around 1 million users at that time, was indeed blocked in Vietnam later that year. Carl Thayer, an Australia-based veteran Vietnam analyst, encapsulated the dynamic back then: 2009 saw the organization of disparate groups “using Facebook as a rallying area for their shared opposition” to the bauxite mining agreement and to Vietnam’s handling of China-related matters in general. The anti-bauxite movement was also evident of how anti-China sentiments proved an enduring feature of Vietnam’s popular nationalistic movements. Significantly, as Thayer observes, “for the first time the competency of the government to decide on large-scale development projects was called into question by a broad

41 Clark, “Vietnam’s Dysfunctional Relationship with the Web”.

12
national coalition of mainstream elites including environmentalists, scientists, economists, social scientists, and retired officials.”

Since 2009, against the backdrop of increasing state-sanctioned crackdown on the blogosphere and the lack of Vietnamese interest in Twitter, Facebook has proved an indispensable platform for online Vietnamese nationalists to galvanize actions both online and offline, in some cases resulting in physical mobilization, violence and even deadly riots. Calls for anti-China protests were initiated by high-profile bloggers, who also joined Facebook and sought to leverage their influence on the platform. Thanks to its connectivity and intimacy, Facebook enabled Vietnamese Internet users to find camaraderie in their shared anti-China sentiments, widely circulate appeals for demonstrations, jointly hammer out workarounds to state control, or share pictures and videos of the protests and crackdown on them to draw increased attention. Nowhere were those features more manifest than in anti-China demonstrations over the South China Sea dispute that broke out sporadically over the past decade. In 2011, after Chinese patrol boats attacked a Vietnamese oil survey off the coast of Vietnam, anti-Chinese protests took place every Sunday in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City for two months. In 2014, China’s placement of an oil rig in Vietnam’s exclusive economic zone in the South China Sea triggered a widespread backlash, plunging the two

---

42 Thayer, “Political Legitimacy of Vietnam’s One Party-State”.
ideological allies into their worst diplomatic crisis since the 1979 border war. As part of its multipronged response, Vietnam allowed the public to vent their spleen on social media and the comment sections of state-run news outlets. Such sentiments led Vietnamese patriots to organize anti-China street protests, but the protests later morphed into deadly riots, taking a heavy toll on businesses from South Korea, Singapore, Malaysia and Taiwan. These were mistaken for Chinese firms.

The “master narrative” of those Facebook-mobilized anti-China protests, which was more or less dictated by the “new nationalists”, comprised three key messages:

• In the face of China’s growing aggressiveness and expansionism, the response of Vietnamese leaders was meek.
• It was time every single Vietnamese patriot had a say and took action to protect the country’s sovereignty and independence.
• The urgent need for Vietnam to get out of China’s orbit was never more palpable.

While Facebook did prove a crucial tool for information exchange and the coordination of collective action in those anti-China demonstrations, a growing body of evidence has shown that social media alone could not have fuelled protests. The materialization of real-life protests is contingent on a confluence of different pull factors and particular geopolitical contexts. In Vietnam, anti-China sentiments had already existed, waiting to merge with other important triggers such as geopolitical thinking and

---


territorial disputes to unleash anti-China protests. In that context, social media—Facebook included—could at best serve as a helpful conduit. To put things in perspective, in the wake of the dramatic events unfolding in Egypt and Tunisia in 2011, a handful of activists also tried to capitalize on that pretext and used Facebook to call for an Arab Spring-style uprising in Vietnam, a move that elicited lackluster public attention. Also, the role of the authorities could not be discounted in accounting for the mass mobilization and physical violence. Even though Vietnamese authorities eventually suppressed them in 2011 and 2014, the anti-China protests had got some tacit official approval to go ahead in the first place. According to Jessica Chen Weiss, nationalist mobilization, if sincere, can serve as a “diplomatic boon”. They enabled authoritarian leaders to cite popular opinion to claim that they have nationalistic sentiments to placate at home, rendering any diplomatic concession politically costly. Weiss sums up the authorities’ rationale for both greenlighting and suppressing nationalist demonstrations: “By allowing antiforeign protests, autocrats can signal their resolve to stand firm, demonstrate the extent of public anger, and justify an unyielding bargaining stance.” On the other hand, repressing nationalist protests allows autocrats to play “good cop” to the hawkish voices in society and “signal reassurance and their commitment to a more cooperative, flexible diplomatic stance.”

Vietnamese authorities were likely to have realized the hefty price of stoking the nationalist flames too far. Those lessons left indelible imprints on how the authorities revised their tactics to deal with public sentiment online, in a country where social media can serve as a pressure release valve for public opinion as long as collective action is nipped in the bud.

FEATURES OF ONLINE VIETNAMESE NATIONALISM

This section examines more recent prominent cases of online Vietnamese nationalism by analysing the contents of posts and comments on 11 Facebook pages which have been most active in mobilizing online nationalism. These are divided into three categories:

• Category I—State-sponsored groups: ATK Thu do gio ngan (ATK The Capital of Vietnam revolution);\(^{54}\) toi yeu To quoc Viet Nam XHCN (I love Socialist Vietnam Fatherland);\(^ {55}\) Cua ngo Thu Do (Capital Gateway);\(^ {56}\) and Phu Binh mien que an tinh (Phu Binh a hospitable hometown).\(^ {57}\) These four groups are part of Vietnam’s 10,000-strong military cyber unit, dubbed Force 47.

• Category II—Pro-regime groups: Tifosi;\(^ {58}\) Hoc vien phong chong phan dong (Anti-reactionary Academy);\(^ {59}\) Thong tin phong chong phan dong (Anti-reactionary Information);\(^ {60}\) and Bao Lua (Firestorm).\(^ {61}\) They have amassed a strong base of followers (184,000, 234,000,

54 https://www.facebook.com/groups/172388613444084
55 https://www.facebook.com/groups/293849994842179
56 https://www.facebook.com/C%E1%BB%ADa-ng%C3%B5-103629354829772
57 https://www.facebook.com/groups/383505648911005
58 https://www.facebook.com/tifosi.hpo
59 https://www.facebook.com/hocvienphongchongphandong
60 https://www.facebook.com/thongtinchongphandong/
61 https://www.facebook.com/8.baolua
141,000 and 68,000 respectively).

- Category III—Neutral/Private groups: Bi mat Showbiz (Showbiz Secrets); 62 Hoi Khau Nghiep (Karma Group); 63 and Maybe You Missed This F***king News. 64 These groups have also built up a strong base of followers (1,575,000, 385,000 and 794,000 respectively).

An analysis of the activity on these pages suggests the following characteristics:

- Based on the dates of their first posts, all state-sponsored Facebook groups were not active until mid-2018. Pro-regime groups had been active a bit earlier, but their posts did not elicit considerable engagements until mid-2017 (Table 1).
- The dismal number of followers of state-sponsored groups seems to stem from the fact that they are under the control of a local government—Thai Nguyen Province. Force 47 has been in existence since late 2017, 65 but it was not until March 2021 that Thai Nguyen authorities acknowledged publicly that the four groups were part of the military cyber unit. 66 It remains unclear when state-sponsored groups with larger bases of followers will be revealed.
- Neutral/private groups are teeming with jokes, memes and discussions

62 https://www.facebook.com/bmsb.vnn/
63 https://www.facebook.com/groups/390756862059577/permalink/430396868095576/
64 https://www.facebook.com/groups/maybeFnews/permalink/146806819351419
66 Hang Nga, “Phát huy vai trò lực lượng 47 trong đấu tranh trên không gian mạng” [Utilize the role of Force 47 in the cyberspace battlefield], Thai Nguyen Newspaper, 29 March 2021, http://baothainguyen.vn/trang-in-282516.html?fbclid=IwAR3IxeNAYvxJM0EjvAgH8rKCY1W8v5ax7JoITdCmENRbkip8G372Ef0vOI
### Table 1: When Facebook Groups Became Active

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facebook Groups</th>
<th>Year Active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATK Thu do gio ngan (ATK The Capital of Vietnam revolution)</td>
<td>June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toi yeu To quoc Viet Nam XHCN (I love Socialist Vietnam Fatherland)</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cua ngo Thu Do (Capital Gateway)</td>
<td>August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phu Binh mien que an tinh (Phu Binh a hospitable hometown)</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tifosi</td>
<td>September 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoc vien phong chong phan dong (Anti-reactionary academy)</td>
<td>October 2017. The group did not see its post engagements significantly improve until the last half of 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thong tin phong chong phan dong (Anti-reactionary Information)</td>
<td>October 2016. The group did not see its post engagements significantly improve until the last half of 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bao Lua (Firestorm)</td>
<td>November 2014. The group did not see its post engagements significantly improve until 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi mat Showbiz (Showbiz Secrets)</td>
<td>October 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoi Khau Nghiep (Karma Group)</td>
<td>November 2013. The group did not see its post engagements significantly improve until 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maybe You Missed This F***king News</td>
<td>October 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Compiled by the author.*
on all garden-variety and sensational topics except politics. They have also amassed the largest followings among the three categories. These neutral/private groups have also participated in promoting the nationalist narratives when issues resonate strongly amongst the general netizen population.

• While the government has stopped short of officially recognizing pro-regime pages, the latter have either implicitly or publicly adopted a pro-government stance and tend to be the first among the three categories analysed to propagate nationalistic narratives. Pro-regime groups were also the only category that produced original nationalist narratives, which were later picked up and spread by the rest in the other two categories.

Three high-profile cases of online nationalism have been examined. The first involves the social media-fuelled boycott call against H&M, the Swedish fashion retailer. The second case relates to the online backlash against comments made by Singapore Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong about Vietnam’s role in ousting the genocidal Khmer Rouge regime. The last looks into the public outcry over a wealthy Vietnamese COVID-19 patient.

Based on the analysis of these case studies, several broad features of Vietnamese online nationalism are distilled:

• Online Vietnamese nationalism has mostly revolved around and been triggered by anti-China sentiments. There is no black-and-white answer to the question of whether the nationalist expression was organic or state-instigated. What is more certain is that in a country where anti-China sentiments have permeated public discourse, these serve as a ready tinderbox that can be easily ignited. Against that backdrop, Vietnamese authorities have sometimes just played a facilitating role in stoking nationalist sentiments and exploiting them.

• Apart from anti-China sentiments, dislike of the West’s claims of

moral superiority has also emerged as a salient trigger for online Vietnamese nationalism. But unlike the narratives associated with anti-China sentiments, anti-West narratives tend to be more pro-regime in nature. In the case study examined, the Vietnamese government had been able to gain exceptional public approval for pushing back the coronavirus. Basking in a sense of pride in Vietnam’s achievements, Internet users had bristled at what they perceived as unfair, biased or even racist narrative against their country perpetuated by the West. Intriguingly, many of those online nationalists opted to defend Vietnam and the regime even though they were critical of domestic political affairs.

- Unlike Facebook-fuelled anti-China demonstrations over South China Sea issues, which last took place in 2018, the online nationalist campaigns examined in this paper seem short-lived and their impact fleeting and limited to online rhetoric. This suggests that while the issues may touch on latent popular sensitivities, they may not have been compelling enough to sustain prolonged online activism and mobilization. It is also possible that in the two cases where anti-China sentiments were triggered, the Vietnamese authorities stepped in on the side of the online nationalists and were seen, in some measure, to have addressed their grievances.

*The H&M Saga*

A confluence of anti-China sentiments, geopolitical thinking and territorial disputes was the trigger for the nationalist expression in this case. In early April 2021, H&M was facing a growing uproar and boycott call from social media users in Vietnam who accused it of capitulating to Beijing to use a map that contains the controversial nine-dash line. The nine-dash line includes large swathes of the South China Sea and is vehemently opposed by Hanoi.68 Despite the lack of compelling evidence to substantiate such allegations against H&M, in Vietnam it was quickly

---

framed as a sign that the Swedish apparel maker had acquiesced to China’s territorial claims. Pro-regime Facebook groups took the lead in amplifying the accusations and calling for a boycott against H&M. This narrative ricocheted throughout social media, sending the hashtag #ApologizeToVietNam viral. After social media posts lit the flames, ordinary Internet users—including many of my Facebook friends—were thrown for a loop and joined in.

Interestingly, state-sponsored Facebook pages did not circulate many posts about this case while the other groups analysed for this section were very active in trending the nationalist sentiment. As the pattern shows: Pro-regime groups were the first to deliver the blistering indictment of H&M online. In this case, although the average posts were almost equal between pro-regime and neutral/private groups, the latter eclipsed the former where the number of average reactions, shares and comments (Figure 1) were concerned.

Figure 1: Average Facebook Posts and Their Engagements on Three Categories in the H&M Saga

Source: Compiled by the author.
The main thrust of posts on pro-regime groups more or less dovetailed with the “master narrative” that ensued and reverberated through both social media and the mainstream media:

- To regain the trust of Chinese customers, H&M had opted to kowtow to China by using a map that contained the nine-dash line.
- Such actions violated Vietnamese laws and undermined its maritime sovereignty, insulting the country and its people. H&M was doing so even though it was making profits in Vietnam.
- H&M must make a correction to its faulty map. Otherwise, its actions would merit a boycott in Vietnam.

An analysis of most-used keywords in all posts and comments on Facebook groups analysed for this section shows three dominant themes: anti-China sentiments, Vietnam’s maritime sovereignty and the boycott call. (Figure 2)

*Figure 2: Keywords on Social Media Discussion on the H&M Saga*

Source: Compiled by the author.
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69 https://www.facebook.com/tifosi.hpo/posts/544401433622521
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But as it turned out, Vietnamese Internet users appeared not to have followed through with the boycott call against H&M. This research programme at ISEAS has generated relevant keywords on the topic of anti-China sentiments (see Appendix) and analysed the discussion on them in Vietnam’s online sphere since late July 2020. More relevant keywords were added to the topic to reflect how the H&M saga vaulted anti-China sentiments online to an unprecedented peak: The number of social media posts with anti-Chinese sentiments hit 4,558 on 3 April 2021—the very day after the narrative of the H&M saga first circulated in Vietnam (Figure 3). But the peak did not last long, quickly petering out and being in decline since. A major chunk of the content (nearly 80 per cent) originated from social media, chiefly Facebook (Figure 4).

In this case, it was not long before many of Vietnam’s state-run news outlets swung into action to propagate the same narrative that had appeared in the cybersphere. In a country without free media, if Vietnamese censors had wanted to gut media coverage on the H&M saga, they would have barged in. A 2020 study by the Washington-based Center for Naval Analysis also confirmed a pattern in which Vietnamese authorities sometimes calibrated media coverage to exhibit

**Figure 3: Online Posts on Anti-China Sentiments since July 2020**

![Graph showing online posts on anti-China sentiments since July 2020](image)

*Source: ISEAS – Yusof Ishak Institute and Isentia.*
As nationalist sentiments raged, Vietnam’s Ministry of Foreign Affairs used the controversy to issue a statement that dismissed China’s nine-dash line claim.

It took one week, 2 to 8 April, for the H&M saga to die down. But still, it proved a testament to how increasingly adroit Vietnam authorities have become at leveraging the confluence of nationalist sentiment and social media. In doing so, the authorities were also able to telegraph significant messages: First, Vietnam too has strong nationalistic sentiments that should not be trifled with. Second, few moves risk stirring up a hornet’s

---

nest in Vietnam more than one that purportedly validates the nine-dash claim. Finally, even though Hanoi’s economic muscle is dwarfed by China’s, in some cases, winning over—or at least not offending—online patriots should become a crucial consideration for companies seeking to enter the Vietnamese market. More importantly, the case also showed how the agendas of state and popular players could converge and amplify online. But that was not the first time for such a dynamic to manifest, as we will shall see in the next case, which involved Singapore’s prime minister.

**The Online Backlash against Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong’s Comments**

Anti-China sentiments again triggered nationalist expression in this case. There were also other factors that reflected different dimensions of online Vietnamese nationalism: national pride and superiority as well as hawkishness on foreign policy and geopolitical diplomacy.\(^{71}\) In June 2019, Singapore Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong’s tribute to the late Thai premier General Prem Tinsulanonda contained the words “invasion” and “occupation” to refer to Vietnam’s actions to oust the Khmer Rouge in the late 1970s, language that Vietnam and Cambodia said was tantamount to support for the genocidal regime of Pol Pot.\(^{72}\) This sparked an online backlash and a diplomatic crisis not seen in years between Singapore and its two Southeast Asian neighbours. Vietnam’s online community also took the lead in flagging the issue. Angry mobs of netizens stormed the Facebook page of Prime Minister Lee, demanding an apology and a correction of what they perceived as a distorted historical


reflection. In this case, Vietnamese authorities did not remain reticent for long. Besides lodging a diplomatic protest against Singapore, they quickly joined their Cambodian counterparts in the chorus of criticism against Prime Minister Lee’s comments, saying “they did not objectively reflect the historical truth”.

Pro-regime groups analysed for this section and other Facebook pages purportedly, run by cyber troops or public opinion shapers, were the most active in trending those sentiments (see Figure 5). Another potential popular actor, which was not part of the state-sanctioned cyber unit but which should not be discounted altogether, were zealous online nationalists. As significant crossovers occur among these groups,73

---

**Figure 5: Average Facebook Posts and Their Engagements in Three Categories in the Case of PM Lee Hsien Loong**

![Figure 5: Average Facebook Posts and Their Engagements in Three Categories in the Case of PM Lee Hsien Loong](image)

*Source: Compiled by the author.*

---

73 Dien Nguyen An Luong, “How The Vietnamese State Uses Cyber Troops to Shape Online Discourse”.
it is hard to ascertain who played a more decisive role in shaping the nationalist narrative and spreading it. But in this case, one difference was that all three Facebook pages under the category of Neutral/Private groups did not participate. One possible explanation: Unlike the H&M saga that also involved popular consumer behaviour, this case was purely about politics and could have proved of little interest to young social media users who account for a big chunk of followers of those groups. According to the 2020 Next Generation survey conducted by the British Council, three in four (78 per cent) Vietnamese youths polled said they had “no engagement” with the country’s politics. In this case, the average Facebook posts in the state-sponsored category outnumbered those on pro-regime groups. But intriguingly, the post engagements in pro-regime groups exceeded those in the state-sponsored category by a wide margin.

The strong backlash, both online and in real life, from Vietnam could have caught Singaporean authorities off-guard in the first place. But a closer look at history and the geopolitical context helps shed light on such a reaction. The “master narrative” circulated by online nationalists in this case was again pretty much aligned with Vietnam’s official line:

- While the rest of the world turned their backs on the atrocities committed by the Khmer Rouge in Cambodia, Vietnam dispatched soldiers to rescue its neighbour and oust a brutal regime that was backed by Beijing.
- By just responding to ample provocation from the Khmer Rouge, Vietnam’s actions in Cambodia were totally justified. Vietnam should therefore have been credited with “liberating” Cambodia instead of being accused of “invading” the country.

• In making those comments, Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong distorted history, disregarded sacrifices made by Vietnamese soldiers and hurt the feelings of the people.

State and popular actors also diverged in their approaches: While Vietnamese leaders did not publicly call for an apology from Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong, online nationalists vociferously pushed for it. In fact, the “Lee-Hsien-Loong-owes-Vietnam-an-apology” meme became one of the most popular online. Anti-reactionary Academy, a pro-regime group analysed for this section, went even further by pointing the finger at what it perceived as Singapore’s ulterior motive in this regard. In a post dated 7 June 2019, the group floated the possibility that Prime Minister Lee made the comments in the context of the US-China trade war continuing to affect Singapore’s economy. According to the post, in dismissing Vietnam’s “righteous” actions in Cambodia, the Singaporean prime minister was seeking to curry favour with both China and the US, which had also supported and bankrolled the Khmer Rouge. Anti-Singapore sentiments were somewhat conflated with anti-China sentiments.

Such sentiments were captured in an analysis of most-used keywords in a total of ten posts and all comments on the four pro-regime Vietnamese Facebook groups as well as public responses on the Facebook page of Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong (Figure 6).

76 https://www.facebook.com/hocvienphongchongphandong/posts/1115272068662547
But the diplomatic run-in has apparently not had a major bearing on the overall ties between Vietnam and Singapore since. The island nation remained the third-largest investor in Vietnam in 2019\textsuperscript{79} and became the largest last year.\textsuperscript{80} That appeared to be also the case in the online sphere. One year after Prime Minister Lee made his comments, Tifosi, the pro-regime Facebook group, circulated a post as a reminder that Singapore was “one of the most ardent supporters of the Khmer Rouge regime”.\textsuperscript{81} But other than that, the other Facebook groups analysed for


\textsuperscript{81} https://www.facebook.com/tifosi.hpo/posts/344883086907691
this section, as well as many other pro-government Facebook groups, have stopped broaching the issue since it was settled through official diplomatic channels. By and large, it took roughly three weeks for the online backlash to almost totally subside.

As analysed, expressions of online nationalism in the cases of the boycott call against H&M and PM Lee Hsien Loong’s comments revolved around anti-China triggers that had already existed or been pent-up. But as we shall see in the next case study, the COVID-19 pandemic has opened a new venue for online nationalism and seen Vietnamese authorities form an unlikely alliance with patriots who are not necessarily supportive of the regime.

The “Ungrateful” COVID-19 Patient

This case was an instance in which online nationalistic mobilization coalesced around a pro-regime narrative that was driven by extraordinarily high public approval of the Vietnamese government’s handling of the COVID-19 crisis. In September 2020, after a New Yorker feature, headlined “The Public Shaming Pandemic”, came out, an online backlash ensued that saw livid Vietnamese netizens flocking to the Facebook page of the prestigious magazine to lash out at one of the most high-profile Vietnamese COVID-19 patients. Two Vietnamese daughters of a wealthy tycoon were featured in the piece, among other patients who incurred public wrath for their roles in spreading the coronavirus. One of them, Nhung Nguyen, returned to Hanoi from London in early March 2020, and was tested positive for COVID-19 several days later. Dubbed Patient 17, she apparently hushed up her travel to Italy to avoid quarantine, a move that set the stage for another wave of massive

---


83 Vu Lam, “Public Shaming Is Terrible, but so Is Poor Journalism”, The Diplomat, 19 October 2020, https://thediplomat.com/2020/10/public-shaming-is-terrible-but-so-is-poor-journalism/
infections in a country that had gone virus-free for twenty-two days. As a result, Patient 17 and her sister in Europe triggered a popular backlash, which was all the more potent in the online sphere.

Besides panning the *New Yorker* for what many perceived as a narrative about Vietnam that was riddled with several major factual errors and bias, Internet users vented their main grievances against Patient 17, calling her “ungrateful”, “contemptible”, or “elitist” and even demanding her deportation. The reasons? Chief among them was the fact that after being treated successfully by Vietnamese doctors to whom her family said they were grateful, Patient 17 later used a Western news outlet to blame the public shaming against her and her sister on their social and wealth status. “In Vietnam, we are too privileged—we travel too much… If this was Paris Hilton, there would not be so much fuss,” Patient 17 told the New Yorker in September 2020, referring to the rationale for the public backlash she and her sister had been faced with six months earlier. For the angry mobs, it was this attitude which they saw as unpatriotic, anti-social and entitled that was the real trigger for the online uproar.

All three categories analysed for this section participated in circulating the nationalist narrative with pro-regime groups being the most active. Again, state-sponsored groups attracted little engagement even though their average posts were no fewer than those in the other two categories. Neutral/private groups recorded the highest post engagements, probably thanks to their large followings (Figure 7).

Notably, this case also saw online nationalists, many of whom may not have necessarily defended the regime under other circumstances, and the mainstream media being almost on the same page in organizing the “master narrative” that comprised three key messages:

- Patient 17 bit the hand that feeds her.
- For Vietnamese, a majority of whom have been highly compliant with drastic measures enacted by the government to contain the pandemic, giving up a modicum of privacy if it means saving lives was no big deal.

---
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• Seen in such light, it smacks of elitism for the Western media to adopt a condescending tone to sneer at the pandemic-fighting model adopted by Vietnam and other countries and call it “draconian.”

Those sentiments were reflected in an analysis of most-used keywords in all posts and comments on the eleven aforesaid Vietnamese Facebook groups as well as public responses on the Facebook page of the *New Yorker* magazine (Figure 8).

Western media have long come under fire for its “too narrow an agenda” when covering Africa, India or China. Vietnam has not been

---

an exception.\textsuperscript{86} But that issue was not vigorously brought up in Vietnam’s online sphere until the case of Patient 17, a move that was reflective of the exceptionally high public trust in the government, thanks to its success in battling the pandemic. One survey after the next corroborated public confidence in the government. For instance, in the \textit{State of Southeast Asia 2021} survey done by ISEAS – Yusof Ishak Institute—which included academics, government officials and business people—respondents from Vietnam registered the strongest approval for their government’s handling of the pandemic.\textsuperscript{87} That success has been key to boosting patriotism and

\textsuperscript{86} Hong Tien Vu, Nyan Lynn, “When the News Takes Sides: Automated Framing Analysis of News Coverage of the Rohingya Crisis by the Elite Press from Three Countries”, \textit{Journalism Studies} 21, no. 9 (2020): 1284–304.

nationalism in a population of nearly 100 million. But the online furore yet again proved short-lived and fleeting, lasting less than a week with no concrete action materializing in real life. Case in point: less than a month after the New Yorker article appeared, a Vietnamese researcher wrote an article in The Diplomat—an online magazine—to criticize its journalistic approach only to attract little public eyeballs.88

As shown in those three case studies, the triggers for expressions of nationalism revolved mostly around anti-China sentiments, territorial disputes, and geopolitical thinking. Another trigger emerged, however: Foreign criticism or scepticism of Vietnam’s battle against the COVID-19 pandemic—and the sentiment against anti-Western superiority claims—could also galvanize online nationalists into action. It would be too preliminary, however, to conclude that this phenomenon will shape online Vietnamese nationalism in the long run. Another key takeaway is that online nationalists rarely exhibited any intent to translate their campaigns into real-life actions. They instead sought to alert the authorities and the public to certain issues by making as much noise online as they could.

On the other side of the spectrum, state actors would strive to exploit and harness the confluence of nationalist sentiment and social media in order to serve various agendas.

All three case studies also prove that it is no longer rare for state and popular actors to find themselves in a situation in which their shared nationalist end goals of may cross paths and mutually reinforce. This leads to another major question: What roles have state actors played in shaping nationalist narratives?

**IMPLICATIONS FOR STATE ACTORS IN SHAPING NATIONALIST NARRATIVES**

Patterns of online nationalist mobilization crystallized the crucial role of pro-regime Facebook groups in flagging controversial issues, shaping nationalist narratives and propagating them. Even though their followings

---

88 Vu Lam, “Public Shaming Is Terrible”.
pale in comparison with those of neutral/private groups, they are strong, active and persistent enough to peddle an online discourse in line with the regime’s official line. Whether these groups have state backing is perhaps an evergreen question, for various reasons. First, the segment of online nationalists who are not state-backed and may be voluntarily participating in defending the state should never be undercounted and underestimated. Second, even though the authorities acknowledge the official existence of Force 47, the unit has been subject to widespread lampoon, criticism and backlash since its roll-out. Carrying the state-sponsored designation is likely to aggravate public distaste, further discrediting the legitimacy of the narratives those Facebook groups seek to propagate. That may help to explain why state-sponsored Facebook groups have dismal followings and elicit little engagement. Lastly, even if pro-regime groups were linked to Force 47, not explicitly recognizing them could give the authorities some flexibility to play the public opinion card. The H&M saga or the online backlash against Lee Hsien Loong were indicative of how the Vietnamese government could point to public feelings, even in cyberspace, to justify their actions and messages to China and the broader international audience. Given that, maintaining the status quo when it comes to the recognition of state-sponsored and pro-regime Facebook groups is likely to be the strategy of choice for the state apparatus.

Vietnamese authorities will also need to find suitable strategies to manage Vietnam’s evolving social media ecosystem. Having tried for nearly a decade to exert greater control over the Internet and social media, they recognize that they cannot act like China and ban foreign tech giants altogether. They may have also realized that it is a tall order to build a domestic social networking site that can stand shoulder to shoulder with the likes of China’s WeChat or Weibo.89 Rather than crack down on Facebook, or try to elbow it out, the Vietnamese government look

to co-opt and utilize the social media giant. In fact, in a country whose leaders once frowned upon it, Facebook has morphed from a perceived major threat into an increasingly handy accomplice for the authorities on various fronts. Vietnamese politicians, perhaps taking a page out of the censorship playbook adopted by authoritarians elsewhere, have become increasingly astute in exploiting Facebook to their advantage, utilizing it as a handy tool to gauge public sentiment, detect local corruption, spearhead disinformation campaign or squash dissenting voices. Even though Vietnam’s cyber troops and public opinion shapers have been considered a more sophisticated extension of the traditional propaganda model, their modus operandi has still been largely governed by the ideological and political dos and don’ts that have long dictated the editorial line of the mass media. That is likely to leave the government’s nationalism-boosting messages somewhat out of sync with the growing cadres of Internet-savvy youth in Vietnam. This leads to another Catch-22:

---
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If the authorities move to make the most of the digital space to reach out to the young, any future attempt that seeks to tighten cyberspace could trigger a popular backlash. For Vietnam, that is an increasingly delicate balance to strike.

Over the years, Vietnamese authorities have also become well aware that any move to repress nationalism, anti-China sentiments in particular, can only further pit them against the public whose support they need to shore up. Conclusions of all case studies in this paper also show that issues that trigger anti-China sentiments—be it sovereignty or China’s influence—can be most potent. That presents another major dilemma for Vietnamese authorities if they do not handle them well enough.

While online nationalism started to manifest in Vietnam almost a decade behind that in China, leaders in both countries are well aware that online patriots have placed their pride first and foremost in their nations, and not necessarily in the regime. Both Chinese and Vietnamese authorities have also had to walk an increasingly blurry line between placating online nationalism and preventing it from turning against them. Case in point: On the heels of the 2014 oil rig crisis, sixty-one Vietnamese Communist Party members lodged an online petition calling for drastic reforms to reduce Hanoi’s dependence on its giant northern neighbour and urging Vietnamese leaders to sue Beijing at an international tribunal. But on the other hand, the petition pulled no punches, calling on the leadership to jettison “the erroneous policies of building socialism and decisively veering towards a national and democratic direction”. Also, if leveraging anti-China sentiments continues to be the strategy of choice by Hanoi to deal with Beijing, losing control of it is not the only risk. Doing so would be tantamount to playing with fire, as Wang and Womack point out: “Beijing had good reasons to be sceptical to the constraining power of an audience cost to Hanoi. Sharing a similar regime type and common

---
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experiences dealing with nationalistic publics, Beijing understood Hanoi had means to deescalate the rhetoric and calm the public.”

A FICKLE OR WIN-WIN PARTNERSHIP?

As explained in this paper, the manifestations of online Vietnamese nationalism were mostly triggered by and tethered with entrenched visceral anti-China sentiments. Several large-scale anti-China demonstrations took place from early 2010 on a ferocious magnitude, triggering heavy-handed responses from the authorities, including physical repression. But in other cases that also revolved around anti-China sentiments since 2019, nationalists confined themselves to the online sphere and showed no intent of mobilizing people in real life. They also proved how quickly online nationalistic campaigns could both galvanize and dissipate as both state and popular actors somehow achieved their end goals without stirring up any physical violence. If online Vietnamese nationalism continues to revolve around the most recent dynamic, toleration and responsiveness are likely to be the default response by the authorities. How the authorities have increasingly sought to exploit and harness online nationalist sentiments is a testament to this projection. Like their Chinese counterparts, Vietnamese leaders are probably well aware that in addition to the rising standards of living, nationalism remains a crucial part of the regime’s legitimacy.

The Vietnamese government’s adept handling of the pandemic has also enabled it to inadvertently enlist the support of online nationalists who are not necessarily pro-regime under other circumstances. But this is where perhaps Vietnamese authorities are grappling with a vexing question: Is that type of online nationalism just a temporary phenomenon resulting from the pandemic, or is it a long-lasting one? This paper has highlighted several key examples where the online community has played

98 Ibid.
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an increasingly proactive role as vigilantes against content they deem controversial, inappropriate or detrimental to Vietnam’s image. On the other side of the spectrum, the authorities have repeatedly harped on the need to crack down on what they perceive to be anti-state content, which in their view has been chiefly one that is detrimental to the reputation of the leaders and the regime. Against that backdrop, how to justify cracking down on “anti-state content” without alienating the online vigilante force they need to enlist presents another daunting question for the authorities.

APPENDIX

Anti-China Sentiments Online

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-China sentiments</td>
<td>“#ApologizeToVietNam”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Cút khỏi Việt Nam”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“H&amp;M CÚT RA KHỎI VIỆT NAM”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“H&amp;M” AND “cút đi”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“HOÀNG SA TRƯỜNG SA LÀ CỦA VIỆT NAM”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Hèn&amp;Mọn”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Thu hồi bản đồ đường sông buiten bờ đi”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “công rắn rước voi”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “hàng xóm tốt”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “kẻ thù truyền kiếp”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “mầm mống của dịch bệnh”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “nhập cư lậu”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “nhập cảnh lậu” AND “xử bản”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “nhập cảnh trái phép” AND “xử bản”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Trung Quốc” AND “dem dịch bệnh về hại nước hại dân”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Tạp Cận Bình cho dân sang Việt Nam gieo rắc dịch bệnh”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“bú nhân dân tệ”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“bung bô tàu khuraa”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“bạn vàng”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“bợ đít tàu”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“bợ đít”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“chủ quyền dân tộc”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“con cháu của Tập Cận Bình”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“con lươn quốc tế”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“cút qua Tàu đi”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“cút sang tàu”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Keywords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“câu lạc”</td>
<td>“công sản tàu”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“dã tâm bành trướng”</td>
<td>“gián điệp china”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“giặc ngoài”</td>
<td>“hai mặt”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“hán nô”</td>
<td>“hàn mòn”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“hít nhân dân tệ”</td>
<td>“hít nhân dân tệ”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“idol share đường lưỡi bò”</td>
<td>“idol share đường lưỡi bò”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“khựa”</td>
<td>“lươn lẹo”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“liếm nhân dân tệ”</td>
<td>“liếm nhân dân tệ”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“liếm đít Tq”</td>
<td>“liếm đít Tq”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“liếm đít Trung quốc”</td>
<td>“liếm đít Trung quốc”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“liếm đít tàu”</td>
<td>“liếm đít tàu”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“lạn lẹo”</td>
<td>“lật mặt”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“mang quốc tịch tàu khựa”</td>
<td>“mang quốc tịch tàu khusaha”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“mất idol thì tìm idol khác nhưng mất nước là mất hết”</td>
<td>“mất idol thì tìm idol khác nhưng mất nước là mất hết”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“mấy thằng china dog”</td>
<td>“mấy thằng china dog”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“mấy thằng dog Trung Quốc”</td>
<td>“mấy thằng dog Trung Quốc”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“mị chow”</td>
<td>“mị chau 4.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“mị cháu thời hiện đại”</td>
<td>“mị cháu 4.0”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“mị cháu” AND “đường lưỡi bò”</td>
<td>“mị cháu” AND “đường lưỡi bò”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“nghiệt súc bán nước”</td>
<td>“nghiệt súc bán nước”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“nhập cảnh trái phép” AND “gián điệp Trung Quốc”</td>
<td>“nhập cảnh trái phép” AND “gián điệp Trung Quốc”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“nâng bi tung của”</td>
<td>“nâng bi tung của”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“nói không với tàu khựa”</td>
<td>“nói không với tàu khusaha”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“nịnh thần”</td>
<td>“nịnh thần”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“thiếu nghị lực”</td>
<td>“thiếu nghị lực”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“thủ đoạn thâm độc của Tàu khusaha”</td>
<td>“thủ đoạn thâm độc của Tàu khusaha”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE GROWING SALIENCE OF ONLINE VIETNAMESE NATIONALISM

Dien Nguyen An Luong